# metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Bing-Xin Liu<sup>a,b</sup> and Duan-Jun Xu<sup>b</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Shanghai University, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: xudj@mail.hz.zj.cn

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 295 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.006 Å H-atom completeness 87% Disorder in solvent or counterion R factor = 0.045 wR factor = 0.112 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.7

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# Bis(2,2'-diamino-4,4'-bi-1,3-thiazole- $\kappa^2 N, N'$ )-(phthalato- $\kappa^2 O, O'$ )nickel(II) 3.5-hydrate

The crystal stucture of the title compound,  $[Ni(C_8H_4O_4)-(C_6H_6N_4S_2)_2]\cdot 3.5H_2O$ , consists of a nickel(II) complex and water of crystallization. The complex assumes an octahedral geometry formed by one phthalate dianion and two diamino-bithiazole molecules.

### Comment

Metal complexes of 2,2'-diamino-4,4'-bi-1,3-thiazole (DABT) have shown potential application in some fields (Waring, 1981; Fisher *et al.*, 1985). As part of a series of investigations of metal complexes of DABT, the title nickel(II) complex, (I), was prepared.



The molecular structure of (I) is shown in Fig. 1. The complex molecule displays an octahedral coordination geometry formed by two DABT molecules and one phthalate dianion. The phthalate dianion chelates to Ni *via* both terminal carboxyl groups; these are approximately perpendicular to each other, with a dihedral angle of 84.3 (4)°. Each carboxyl



C 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

The molecular structure of (I), with 30% probability displacement ellipsoids. Dashed lines indicate the hydrogen bonding.

Received 17 October 2003 Accepted 24 October 2003 Online 8 November 2003

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0519P)^2]$ 

where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 

+ 2.0505P]

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$ 

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.42 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.31 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 





A molecular packing diagram, dashed lines showing the hydrogen bonding between Ni<sup>II</sup> complex molecules.

group is coordinated in a monodentate fashion to Ni; uncoordinated carboxyl O atoms are hydrogen bonded to neighboring complex or water molecules (Table 2). The Ni-O1 and Ni-O3 bond distances [2.0752 (19) and 2.0895 (19) Å, respectively] are essentially the same, but the Ni-O1-C13 angle of 140.2 (2)° is much larger than the Ni-O3-C20 angle of 119.91 (18) Å.

Within DABT moieties the average C-N bond distance of 1.334 (4) Å between amino groups and thiazole rings suggests the existence of electron delocalization. The bond distances, 1.459 (4) and 1.453 (5) Å for C3-C6 and C9-C12, respectively, correspond to C–C single bonds between  $sp^2$ -hybridized C atoms. The dihedral angles between thiazole rings are 7.90 (13) and 9.72 (17)°. These values are comparable to 8.4 and 10.2° found in a cadmium(II) complex of DABT (Liu et al., 2003).

Extensive hydrogen bonding occurs in the crystal structure. All water molecules are involved in hydrogen bonding, as shown in Fig. 1. The amino groups of DABT are hydrogen bonded to adjacent coordinated O or N atoms to stabilize the molecular structure (Fig. 1). Moreover, three amino groups (N2, N4 and N8) link neighboring complex molecules via N- $H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds, thus forming a supramolecular structure, as shown in Fig. 2 and Table 2.

## **Experimental**

The microcrystals of DABT were obtained in the manner reported by Erlenmeyer (1948). An aqueous solution (20 ml) containing DABT (0.20 g, 1 mmol) and NiCl<sub>2</sub> (0.13 g, 1 mmol) was mixed with another aqueous solution (10 ml) of phthalic acid (0.17 g, 1 mmol) and NaOH (0.08 g, 2 mmol). The mixture was refluxed for 3 h. The solution was filtered after cooling to room temperature. Green single crystals were obtained from the filtrate after 3 d.

#### Crystal data

 $[Ni(C_8H_4O_4)(C_6H_6N_4S_2)_2]$ ·3.5H<sub>2</sub>O  $D_x = 1.616 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  $M_r = 682.41$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ Cell parameters from 16667 reflections a = 14.5564 (14) Åb = 13.5072 (12) Å $\theta = 2.0-24.0^{\circ}$  $\mu = 1.05 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ c = 15.7929 (11) Å $\beta = 115.430 \ (12)^{\circ}$ T = 295 (2) KV = 2804.3 (5) Å<sup>3</sup> Prism, green Z = 40.34  $\times$  0.27  $\times$  0.11 mm Data collection Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID 6343 independent reflections diffractometer 4886 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\rm int} = 0.035$ (i) scans Absorption correction: multi-scan  $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.4^{\circ}$ (ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995)  $h = -18 \rightarrow 18$  $T_{\rm min}=0.698,\ T_{\rm max}=0.890$  $k = -15 \rightarrow 17$ 25568 measured reflections  $l = -20 \rightarrow 20$ Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.045$  $wR(F^2) = 0.112$ S = 1.036343 reflections 379 parameters H-atom parameters constrained

#### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å).

Ni-O3	2.0752 (19)	N2-C1	1.326 (4)
Ni-O1	2.0895 (19)	N4-C4	1.334 (4)
Ni-N7	2.106 (2)	N6-C7	1.334 (4)
Ni-N3	2.108 (2)	N8-C10	1.340 (4)
Ni-N5	2.116 (2)	C3-C6	1.459 (4)
Ni-N1	2.122 (2)	C9-C12	1.453 (5)

Table 2		
Hydrogen-bonding geometry (A	Å, '	ັ).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N2-H2A\cdotsO1$	0.86	2.06	2.816 (3)	146
$N2-H2B\cdots O4^{i}$	0.86	2.12	2.914 (3)	154
$N4-H4A\cdots N5$	0.86	2.39	3.154 (4)	148
$N4-H4B\cdots O4^{ii}$	0.86	2.17	2.949 (4)	150
$N6-H6A\cdots O3$	0.86	2.24	2.966 (4)	142
$N6-H6B\cdots O41W$	0.86	1.91	2.758 (11)	171
$N6-H6B\cdots O42W$	0.86	2.43	3.197 (12)	149
$N8-H8A\cdots N1$	0.86	2.30	3.062 (4)	148
N8−H8B···O3 <sup>iii</sup>	0.86	2.18	2.808 (4)	130
$O1W-H11W\cdots O2$	0.90	2.02	2.786 (8)	143
$O1W-H12W\cdots O42W^{iv}$	0.91	2.47	3.005 (11)	118
$O1W - H12W \cdot \cdot \cdot O3W^{v}$	0.91	2.43	3.317 (10)	164
$O2W-H21W\cdots O1$	0.86	2.37	3.183 (5)	157
$O2W-H21W\cdots O2$	0.86	2.33	2.801 (6)	114
$O2W - H22W \cdots O3W$	0.90	1.86	2.571 (9)	135

Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z; (ii)  $x, \frac{3}{2} - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iii)  $1 - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - z$ ; (iv)  $x, \frac{3}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z; (v) - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z.$ 

The refinement showed that some of the water molecules are disordered in the crystal structure. The site-occupancy factors of atoms O3W, O41W and O42W converged to 0.63 (2), 0.400 (19) and 0.626 (13), respectively, in the isotropic refinement stage. In the final cycles of anisotropic refinement, the occupancy factors of these disordered O atoms were fixed as 0.5, and H atoms bound to them were not located. H atoms of the ordered water molecules (O1W and

O2W) were located in a difference Fourier map and were included in the refinement with fixed positional parameters and  $U_{\rm iso}$  values of 0.05 Å<sup>2</sup>. Other H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with C– H = 0.93 Å and N–H = 0.86 Å, and included in the final cycles of refinement as riding, with  $U_{\rm iso}(\rm H) = 1.2U_{eq}$  of the carrier atoms.

Data collection: *PROCESS-AUTO* (Rigaku, 1998); cell refinement: *PROCESS-AUTO*; data reduction: *CrystalStructure* (Rigaku/ MSC and Rigaku, 2002); program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR*92 (Altomare *et al.*, 1993); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999).

The project was supported by the Analytical Foundation of Zhejiang University.

### References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C. & Guagliardi, A. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. 26, 343–350.
- Erlenmeyer, H. (1948). Helv. Chim. Acta, 31, 206-210.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Fisher, L. M., Kurod, R. & Sakai, T. (1985). Biochemistry, 24, 3199-3207.
- Higashi, T. (1995). ABSCOR. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Liu, J.-G., Xu, D.-J., Sun, W.-L., Wu, Z.-Y., Xu, Y.-Z., Wu, J.-Y. & Chiang, M. Y. (2003). J. Coord. Chem. 56, 71–76.
- Rigaku (1998). PROCESS-AUTO. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Rigaku/MSC & Rigaku (2002). CrystalStructure. Version 3.00. Rigaku/MSC, 9009 New Trails Drive, The Woodlands, TX, USA 77381–5209, and Rigaku Corporation, Akishima, Tokyo, Japan.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Waring, M. J. (1981). Ann. Rev. Biochem. 50, 159-192.